DECLARATION OF GARY M. BRIGHT

1

I, GARY M. BRIGHT, hereby declare under penalty of perjury the 2 3 following is true and correct to the best of my information and belief. 4

5 1. I am a partner in the law firm of Bright & Powell and am 6 duly authorized to practice law in all courts of the State of California. 7

8 2. The law firm of Bright & Powell began as a sole proprietorship by the undersigned in 1976 and presently consists of 9 || 10 four attorneys. Throughout its existence and continuing through the 110 present, the firm has represented a great number of local harbor businesses, commercial fishermen and individuals having business 12 13 and/or leisure interest in the Santa Barbara harbor area.

14 3. On or about June 25, 1984 I was informed by Mr. Joe Novara 15 of the remarks made by Mr. Al Bei to at least two of my existing 16 clients (Alley Galley Sandwich Shop and Argonaut Yacht Sales, and possibly others). (See Declaration of Joe Novara attached hereto.) 17 18 At that time I certainly felt "emotional distress" within the 19 meaning of Code of Civil Procedure Section 527.6 but what more 20 accurately be described as anger. Mr. Bei and the socalled "Task Force" and those that hire those individuals or organizations are in 21 22] my opinion attempting by falsehood and innuendo to annoy, harass, 23 and damage my law firm's professional reputation with its existing 24 clientele in the false hope that I will withdraw my firm's services 25] as concerns the Advanced Ability Center.

26 4. On or about June 26, 1984 I telephoned the phone number left -27 by Mr. Bei with Mr. Novara, being (213)-484-6746, in an attempt to 28 ascertain who was responsible for that group. An individual

- 250, EXHIBIT

G

answered that prome number as "Task Force I requested to talk to 2 Mr. Bei or Mr. Stan Lewis and was told after leaving my name, both 3 of these individuals were currently in the "field" on assignment and 4 would return my call promptly. 'To date I have received no return 5 phone call from either of those individuals or anyone else 6 representing the "Task Force."

5. I am informed and believe and thereon state that Mr. Al Beil 7 is in fact a private investigator working for Ingram Investigations, B (See Exhibit "____) which organization is in turn hired by the 9 Church of Scientology International and its satellite organizations 10 to annoy, barass and intimidate individuals and groups perceived as 11 "enemies" of the Church of Scientology. My individual name as well 12 as the firm's name of Bright & Powell has been publicly associated 13 with the representation of the Advanced Ability Center and 14 individuals associated with the Center. (See Exhibit "C" bereto). 15

6. On or about June 25, 1984 I received a telephone call from 16 Mr. John Peterson who I know from past matters is an attorney 17 practicing law in the Los Angeles area and is a legal spokesman for 18 the Church of Scientology International. (See Exhibit "A" hereto). 19 Hr. Peterson indicated while his office did not represent Mr. Al 20 Bei, Ingram Investigations, or the "Task Force On White Collar Crime 21 and Drugs," he would contact his clients (referring to the Church of 22 Scientology International) to determine if these matters could be 23 corrected. 24

7. Subsequent to my phone conversation with Mr. Peterson, I am informed that the private investigators from Ingram Investigators, the mocalled "Task Force," as well as Church of Scientology personnel which had leased the offices immediately above those of

, 25, -

1 the Advance: "bility Center had left t. Santa Barbara area and no 2 new acts of harassment have occurred to my knowledge as concerns 3 either my law firm or the Advanced Ability Center or its personnel 4 or family members thereof.

5 8. While I attribute this immediate cessation of activity to 6 Mr. Peterson's efforts, and am grateful therefor, as Mr. Peterson 7 does not represent those individuals and groups primarily involved 8 in the acts of barassment herein described, I feel a court 9 injunction preventing the resurgence of such conduct in the future 10 is both necessary and appropriate.

9. If called as a witness I would competently testify as to the above matters.

Executed at Santa Barbara, California on June 29, 1984.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

101